



Performance in Practice: Sample Tools for the Care of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder

Free – 5 hours of CME credit for
completing the PIP survey

Copyright © 2008 by the American Psychiatric Association

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, taping, or information storage or retrieval systems, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Fochtmann LJ, Duffy FF, West JC, Kunkle R, and Plovnick RM. **Performance in Practice: Sample Tools for the Care of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder.** Focus Winter 2008 6: 22-35.

To earn CME credit for this Survey Program, psychiatrists should use the Sample Real Time Performance in Practice Tool (Appendix B) as indicated. After using the performance in practice tool, participants should fully complete the survey on page 35 and send the survey page by mail to APA CME 1000 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1825 Rosslyn VA 22209, or fax to 703 907 7849, or send by email to educme@psych.org.

Target Audience

Psychiatrists treating patients with major depressive disorder, psychiatrists preparing for recertification.

Learning Objective

After completion of this activity psychiatrists will have the foundation for subsequent performance improvement initiatives aimed at enhancing outcomes for patients with major depressive disorder.

Accreditation Statement

The APA is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

Designation Statement

APA designates this educational activity for a maximum of 5 *AMA PRA Category 1 CreditsTM*. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Program Planner and Author CME Disclosure

Laura J. Fochtmann, M.D. No relevant financial relationships to disclose.

Robert Kunkle, M.A. No relevant financial relationships to disclose.

Robert M. Plovnick, M.D., M.S. No relevant financial relationships to disclose.

Farifteh F. Duffy, Ph.D. No relevant financial relationships to disclose.

Joyce C. West, Ph.D., M.P.P. No relevant financial relationships to disclose.

Begin date:

February 2007

Review date:

February 2011

End date:

February 2014

Estimated time of completion

5 hours

Media

Journal Article

Laura J. Fochtmann, M.D.
Farifteh F. Duffy, Ph.D.
Joyce C. West, Ph.D., M.P.P.
Robert Kunkle, M.A.
Robert M. Plovnick, M.D., M.S.

Performance in Practice: Sample Tools for the Care of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder

Abstract: To facilitate continued clinical competence, the American Board of Medical Specialties and the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology are implementing multi-faceted Maintenance of Certification programs, which include requirements for self-assessments of practice. Because psychiatrists may want to gain experience with self-assessment, two sample performance-in-practice tools are presented that are based on recommendations of the American Psychiatric Association's Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder. One of these sample tools provides a traditional chart review approach to assessing care; the other sample tool presents a novel approach to real-time evaluation of practice. Both tools can be used as a foundation for subsequent performance improvement initiatives that are aimed at enhancing outcomes for patients with major depressive disorder.

Psychiatrists, like other medical professionals, are confronted by a need to maintain specialty specific knowledge despite an explosion in the amount of new information and the ongoing demands of clinical practice. Given these challenges, it is not surprising that researchers have consistently found gaps between actual care and recommended best-practices (1–10). In attempting to enhance the quality of delivered care, a number of approaches have been tried with varying degrees of success. Didactic approaches, including dissemination of written educational materials or practice guidelines, produce limited behavioral change (11–19). Em-

bedding of patient-specific reminders into routine care can lead to benefits in specific quality measures (11, 13–16, 20–23) but these improvements may be narrow in scope, limited to the period of intervention or unassociated with improved patient outcomes (24–27). Receiving feedback after self or peer-review of practice patterns may also produce some enhancements in care (13–15, 23, 28–30). Given the limited effects of the above approaches when implemented alone, the diverse practice styles of physicians and the multiplicity of contexts in which care is delivered, a combination of quality improvement approaches may be needed to improve patient outcomes (14, 19, 28, 29, 31–34).

With these factors in mind, the American Board of Medical Specialties and the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology are implementing multi-faceted Maintenance of Certification (MOC) Programs that include requirements for self-assessments of practice through reviewing the care of at least 5 patients (35). As with the original impetus to create specialty board certification, the MOC programs are intended to enhance quality of patient care in addition to assessing and verifying the competence of medical practitioners over time (36, 37). Although the MOC phase-in schedule will not require completion of a Performance in Practice (PIP) unit until 2014 (35), individuals may wish to begin assessing their own practice patterns before

CME Disclosure

Laura J. Fochtmann, M.D., Professor, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Science and Department of Pharmacological Sciences, State University of New York at Stony Brook, and Practice Guidelines Medical Editor, American Psychiatric Association

Robert Kunkle, M.A., and Robert M. Plovnick, M.D., M.S., Department of Quality Improvement and Psychiatric Services, American Psychiatric Association, 1000 Wilson Blvd., Ste. 1825, Arlington, VA 22209-3901

Farifteh F. Duffy, Ph.D., and Joyce C. West, Ph.D., M.P.P., American Psychiatric Institute for Research and Education, 1000 Wilson Blvd, Suite 1825, Arlington, Virginia, 22209

No relevant financial relationships to disclose.

Address correspondence to: Laura J. Fochtmann, M.D., Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, Stony Brook University School of Medicine, HSC-T10, Stony Brook, NY 11794-8101, E-mail: laura.fochtmann@stonybrook.edu

Table 1. Aspects of Major Depressive Disorder Treatment Addressed by Sample Performance-In-Practice Tools

Recommendation	Source of Recommendation ¹	Performance Tool ²
Identify signs and symptoms of depression	MDD PG	A, B, PCPI
Assess suicidal ideation, plans and intent	MDD PG; SB PG	A, B, PCPI
Identify past or current symptoms of mania or hypomania	MDD PG; BP PG	A, B
Identify past and current substance use disorders, including nicotine, alcohol and other substances	MDD PG; SUD PG; SB PG	A, B
Identify other past and current co-occurring psychiatric disorders	MDD PG	A, B
Identify past and current general medical conditions	MDD PG	A, B
Use treatments that are concordant with practice guideline recommendations (see Appendix A).	MDD PG	A, B, PCPI
Integrate treatment of any substance use disorders or other co-occurring psychiatric disorders with treatment for MDD	MDD PG; SUD PG; SB PG	A, B
Provide education to patients/families about depression and its treatment	MDD PG	A, B
Consider factors such as age, sex, ethnicity, cultural or religious beliefs in planning treatment	MDD PG	B
Assess the patient's level of functioning in social, occupational and other important realms	MDD PG	B
Determine whether cognitive impairment is present	MDD PG	B
Determine whether aggressive behavior is present	SB PG	B
Determine whether suicide attempts or other self-harming behaviors are present	MDD PG; SB PG	B
Determine the degree of adherence to treatment	MDD PG	B
Determine if side effects of treatment are present and, if so, which ones	MDD PG	B

¹ Source of Recommendation: MDD PG = Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder (38); SUD PG = Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Substance Use Disorders (61); BP PG = Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Bipolar Disorder (42); SB PG = Practice Guideline for the Assessment and Treatment of Patients with Suicidal Behaviors (62)

² Performance Tool: A = Sample retrospective PIP tool of Appendix A; B = Sample prospective PIP tool of Appendix B; PCPI = Major depressive disorder measures of the American Medical Association Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement (63)

that time. To facilitate such self-assessment related to the treatment of depression, this paper will discuss several approaches to reviewing one's clinical practice and will provide sample PIP tools that are based on recommendations of the American Psychiatric Association's Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder (38).

Traditionally, most quality improvement programs have focused on retrospective assessments of practice at the level of organizations or departments (39). The Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) measures of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) (40) are a commonly used group of quality indicators that measure health organization performance.

When used under such circumstances, quality indicators are typically expressed as a percentage that reflects the extent of adherence to a particular indicator. For example, in the quality of care measures for bipolar disorder (41) derived from the American Psychiatric Association's 2002 Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Bipolar Disorder (42), one of the indicators is that "Patients in an acute depressive episode of bipolar disorder who are treated with antidepressants, [are] also receiving an antimanic agent such as valproate or lithium." In this example, to calculate the percentage of patients for whom the indicator is fulfilled, the numerator will be the "Number of patients in an acute depressive episode of bipolar disorder, who are receiving an antidepressant, and who are also receiving an

anti-manic agent such as valproate or lithium.” and the denominator will be the “Number of patients in an acute depressive episode of bipolar disorder who are receiving an antidepressant” (41).

As in the above example, most quality indicators are derived from evidence-based practice guidelines, which are intended to apply to typical patients in a population rather than being universally applicable to all patients with a particular disorder (43, 44). In addition, practice guideline recommendations are mainly informed by data from randomized controlled trials. Patients in such trials may have significant differences from those seen in routine clinical practice (45), including clinical presentation, preference for treatment, response to treatment, and presence of co-occurring psychiatric and general medical conditions (43, 46, 47). These differences may result in treatment decisions for individual patients that are clinically appropriate but not concordant with practice guideline recommendations.

When quality indicators are used to compare individual physicians’ practice patterns, quality measures can be influenced by practice size, patients’ sociodemographic factors and illness severity as well as other practice-level and patient-level factors. For example, when small groups of patients are receiving care from an individual physician, a small shift in the number of individuals receiving a recommended intervention could lead to large shifts in the resulting rates of concordance with evidence-based care. Without appropriate application of case-mix adjustments, across-practice comparisons may result in erroneous conclusions about the quality of care being delivered (48, 49). For patients with complex conditions or multiple disorders receiving simultaneous treatment, composite measures of overall treatment quality may yield more accurate appraisals than measurement of single quality indicators (50–52).

With the above caveats, however, use of retrospective quality indicators can be beneficial for individual physicians who wish to assess their own patterns of practice. If a physician’s self-assessment identified aspects of care that frequently differed from key quality indicators, further examination of practice patterns would be helpful. Through self-assessment, the physician may determine that deviations from the quality indicators are justified, or he may acquire new knowledge and modify practice to improve quality. It is this sort of self-assessment and performance improvement efforts that the MOC PIP program is designed to foster.

Appendices A and B provide sample PIP tools, each of which is designed to be relevant across clinical settings (e.g., inpatient, outpatient), straight-

forward to complete and usable in a pen-and-paper format to aid adoption. Although the MOC program requires review of at least 5 patients as part of each PIP unit, it is important to note that larger samples will provide more accurate estimates of quality within a practice. Appendix A provides a sample retrospective chart review PIP tool that assesses the care given to patients with major depressive disorder. Although it is designed as a self-assessment tool, this form could also be used for retrospective peer-review initiatives. As with other retrospective chart review tools, some questions on the form relate to the initial assessment and treatment of the patient whereas other questions relate to subsequent care. Appendix B provides a prospective review form that is intended to be a cross-sectional assessment and could be completed immediately following a patient visit. As currently formatted, Appendix B is designed to be folded in half to allow real-time feedback based upon answers to the initial practice-based questions. This approach is more typical of clinical decision support systems that provide real-time feedback on the concordance between guideline recommendations and the individual patient’s care. In the future, the same data recording and feedback steps could be implemented via a web-based or electronic record system enhancing integration into clinical workflow (53). This will make it more likely that psychiatrists will see the feedback as interactive, targeted to their needs and clinically relevant. Rather than relying on more global changes in practice patterns to enhance individual patients’ care, such feedback also provides the opportunity to adjust the treatment plan of an individual patient to improve patient-specific outcomes (54–56). However, data from this form could also be used in aggregate to plan and implement broader quality improvement initiatives. For example, if self-assessment using the sample tools suggests that signs and symptoms of depression are inconsistently assessed, consistent use of more formal rating scales such as the PHQ-9 (57–59) could be considered.

Each of the sample tools attempts to highlight aspects of care that have significant public health implications (e.g., suicide, obesity, use of tobacco and other substances) or for which gaps in guideline adherence are common. Examples include underdetection and undertreatment of co-occurring substance use disorders (5) and the relatively low concordance with practice guideline recommendations for use of psychosocial therapies and for treatment of psychotic features with MDD (4). Table 1 summarizes specific aspects of care that are measured by these sample PIP tools. Quality improvement suggestions that arise from completion of these sample

tools are intended to be within the control of individual psychiatrists rather than dependent upon other health care system resources.

After using one of the sample PIP tools to assess the pattern of care given to a group of 5 or more patients with major depressive disorder, the psychiatrist should determine whether specific aspects of care need to be improved. For example, if the presence or absence of co-occurring psychiatric disorders has not been assessed or if these disorders are present but not addressed in the treatment plan, then a possible area for improvement would involve greater consideration of co-occurring psychiatric disorders, which are common in patients with MDD.

These sample PIP tools can also serve as a foundation for more elaborate approaches to improving psychiatric practice as part of the MOC program. If systems are developed so that practice-related data can be entered electronically (either as part of an electronic health record or as an independent web-based application), algorithms can suggest areas for possible improvement using specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-limited objectives (60). Such electronic systems could also provide links to journal or textbook materials, clinical practice guidelines, patient educational materials, drug-drug interaction checking, evidence based tool kits or other clinical materials. In addition, future work will focus on developing more standardized approaches to integrating patient and peer feedback with personal performance review, developing and implementing programs of performance improvements and reassessment of performance and patient outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Institute of Medicine. *Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century*. Washington, D.C: National Academy Press; 2001.
- Institute of Medicine. *Improving the quality of health care for mental and substance-use conditions*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2006.
- Colenda CC, Wagenaar DB, Mickus M, Marcus SC, Tanielian T, Pincus HA. Comparing clinical practice with guideline recommendations for the treatment of depression in geriatric patients: findings from the APA practice research network. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2003 Jul; 11(4):448–57.
- West JC, Duffy FF, Wilk JE, Rae DS, Narrow WE, Pincus HA, et al. Patterns and quality of treatment for patients with major depressive disorder in routine psychiatric practice. *Focus* 2005; 3(1):43–50.
- Wilk JE, West JC, Narrow WE, Marcus S, Rubio-Stipec M, Rae DS, et al. Comorbidity patterns in routine psychiatric practice: is there evidence of underdetection and underdiagnosis? *Compr Psychiatry* 2006 Jul; 47(4): 258–64.
- Pincus HA, Page AE, Druss B, Appelbaum PS, Gottlieb G, England MJ. Can psychiatry cross the quality chasm? Improving the quality of health care for mental and substance use conditions. *Am J Psychiatry* 2007 May; 164(5):712–9.
- Rost K, Dickinson LM, Fortney J, Westfall J, Hermann RC. Clinical improvement associated with conformance to HEDIS-based depression care. *Ment Health Serv Res* 2005 Jun; 7(2):103–12.
- Cochrane LJ, Olson CA, Murray S, Dupuis M, Tooman T, Hayes S. Gaps between knowing and doing: understanding and assessing the barriers to optimal health care. *J Contin Educ Health Prof* 2007; 27(2):94–102.
- Chen RS, Rosenheck R. Using a computerized patient database to evaluate guideline adherence and measure patterns of care for major depression. *J Behav Health Serv Res* 2001 Nov; 28(4):466–74.
- Cabana MD, Rushon JL, Rush AJ. Implementing practice guidelines for depression: applying a new framework to an old problem. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2002 Jan; 24(1):35–42.
- Davis D. Does CME work? An analysis of the effect of educational activities on physician performance or health care outcomes. *Int J Psychiatry Med* 1998; 28(1):21–39.
- Sohn W, Ismail AI, Tellez M. Efficacy of educational interventions targeting primary care providers' practice behaviors: an overview of published systematic reviews. *J Public Health Dent* 2004; 64(3):164–72.
- Bloom BS. Effects of continuing medical education on improving physician clinical care and patient health: a review of systematic reviews. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 2005; 21(3):380–5.
- Chaillet N, Dube E, Dugas M, Audibert F, Tourigny C, Fraser WD, et al. Evidence-based strategies for implementing guidelines in obstetrics: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006 Nov; 108(5):1234–45.
- Grimshaw J, Eccles M, Thomas R, MacLennan G, Ramsay C, Fraser C, et al. Toward evidence-based quality improvement. Evidence (and its limitations) of the effectiveness of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies 1966–1998. *J Gen Intern Med* 2006 Feb; 21 Suppl 2:S14–S20.
- Grimshaw JM, Shirran L, Thomas R, Mowatt G, Fraser C, Bero L, et al. Changing provider behavior: an overview of systematic reviews of interventions. *Med Care* 2001 Aug; 39(8 Suppl 2):112–45.
- Grol R. Changing physicians' competence and performance: finding the balance between the individual and the organization. *J Contin Educ Health Prof* 2002; 22(4):244–51.
- Oxman TE. Effective educational techniques for primary care providers: application to the management of psychiatric disorders. *Int J Psychiatry Med* 1998; 28(1):3–9.
- Green LA, Wyszewanski L, Lowery JC, Kowalski CP, Krein SL. An observational study of the effectiveness of practice guideline implementation strategies examined according to physicians' cognitive styles. *Implement Sci* 2007 Dec 1; 2(1):41.
- Balas EA, Weingarten S, Garb CT, Blumenthal D, Boren SA, Brown GD. Improving preventive care by prompting physicians. *Arch Intern Med* 2000 Feb 14; 160(3):301–8.
- Feldstein AC, Smith DH, Perrin N, Yang X, Rix M, Raebel MA, et al. Improved therapeutic monitoring with several interventions: a randomized trial. *Arch Intern Med* 2006 Sep 25; 166(17):1848–54.
- Kucher N, Koo S, Quiroz R, Cooper JM, Paterno MD, Soukonnikov B, et al. Electronic alerts to prevent venous thromboembolism among hospitalized patients. *N Engl J Med* 2005 Mar 10; 352(10):969–77.
- Weingarten SR, Henning JM, Badamgarav E, Knight K, Hasselblad V, Gano A, Jr., et al. Interventions used in disease management programmes for patients with chronic illness—which ones work? Meta-analysis of published reports. *BMJ* 2002 Oct 26; 325(7370):925.
- O'Connor PJ, Crain AL, Rush WA, Sperl-Hillen JM, Gutenkauf JJ, Duncan JE. Impact of an electronic medical record on diabetes quality of care. *Ann Fam Med* 2005 Jul; 3(4):300–6.
- Rollman BL, Hanusa BH, Lowe HJ, Gilbert T, Kapoor WN, Schulberg HC. A randomized trial using computerized decision support to improve treatment of major depression in primary care. *J Gen Intern Med* 2002 Jul; 17(7):493–503.
- Sequist TD, Gandhi TK, Karson AS, Fiskio JM, Bugbee D, Sperling M, et al. A randomized trial of electronic clinical reminders to improve quality of care for diabetes and coronary artery disease. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2005 Jul; 12(4):431–7.
- Tierney WM, Overhage JM, Murray MD, Harris LE, Zhou XH, Eckert GJ, et al. Can computer-generated evidence-based care suggestions enhance evidence-based management of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? A randomized, controlled trial. *Health Serv Res* 2005 Apr; 40(2):477–97.
- Arnold SR, Straus SE. Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices in ambulatory care. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005; (4): CD003539.
- Bradley EH, Holmboe ES, Matterna JA, Roumanis SA, Radford MJ, Krumholz HM. Data feedback efforts in quality improvement: lessons learned from US hospitals. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2004 Feb; 13(1):26–31.
- Paukert JL, Chumley-Jones HS, Littlefield JH. Do peer chart audits improve residents' performance in providing preventive care? *Acad Med* 2003 Oct; 78(10 Suppl):S39–S41.
- Roumie CL, Elasy TA, Greevy R, Griffin MR, Liu X, Stone WJ, et al. Improving blood pressure control through provider education, provider alerts, and patient education: a cluster randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2006 Aug 1; 145(3):165–75.

Appendix A. Sample Retrospective Chart Review Performance-in-Practice Tool for the Care of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder

Instructions: Choose 5 patients with a primary diagnosis of major depressive disorder. If the answer to a given question is “Yes”, place a check mark in the appropriate box. If the answer to the question is “No” or “Unknown”, leave the box unchecked. After reviewing the charts of all 5 patients, complete the final column to determine the relative proportion of patients to whom the recommendation was followed. Any rows for which the total is <2 may be a useful focus for quality improvement efforts.

Guideline recommendation being reviewed	Patient					Number of patients with checkmark in row?
	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	
Did the initial evaluation assess:						
Signs/symptoms of major depression:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
Suicidal ideation/plans/intent	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
Substance use/abuse/dependence						
Nicotine	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
Alcohol	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
Other substances	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
Presence/absence of general medical conditions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
Presence/absence of other co-occurring psychiatric disorders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
History of hypomanic or manic episodes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
Referring to the chart on the reverse side, was treatment concordant with guideline recommendations:						
During the initial acute phase of treatment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
At the time of the chart review (if the treatment plan differs from that in the initial phase of treatment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
Has the treatment plan addressed:						
Patient education about illness/treatments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/5
Co-occurring substance use disorders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/_ (# applicable)
Other co-occurring psychiatric disorders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_/_ (# applicable)

Appendix A. (continued)
 Recommendations for APA Practice Guideline Concordant Treatment of
 Major Depressive Disorder

Acute Phase of Treatment (focused on inducing symptom remission)	
Clinical presentation	Guideline treatment will include:
Mild MDD (minor functional impairment, few symptoms beyond those required for diagnosis)	Antidepressant therapy alone OR Psychotherapy alone ¹ OR Combined treatment with psychotherapy ¹ and antidepressant medication ² (if preferred by patient)
Moderate MDD (greater degree of functional impairment, some symptoms beyond those required for diagnosis)	Antidepressant therapy alone OR Psychotherapy alone ¹ OR Combined treatment with psychotherapy ¹ and antidepressant medication ² OR Electroconvulsive therapy (if preferred by the patient and depression is chronic)
Severe MDD (marked interference with social or occupational function; several symptoms in excess of those required for diagnosis)	Antidepressant therapy alone OR Combined treatment with psychotherapy ¹ and antidepressant medication ² OR Electroconvulsive therapy (if preferred by the patient, if the patient has responded preferentially to ECT in the past or if rapid treatment response is essential)
MDD with psychotic features	Combined treatment with an antidepressant and an antipsychotic medication OR Electroconvulsive therapy
MDD with catatonic features	Benzodiazepines OR Electroconvulsive therapy
Continuation Phase of Treatment (focused on preserving symptom remission over the 16 to 20 weeks after the acute phase of treatment)	
If acute phase treatment included:	Guideline concordant treatment will include:
Psychotherapy	Continued psychotherapy
Antidepressant medication	Antidepressant medication of a comparable dose to that used for acute treatment
Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT)	Pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy; continuation ECT is an acceptable alternative if pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy have not preserved remission in past
Maintenance Phase of Treatment (focused on protecting against recurrence of major depressive episodes)	
If treatment to prevent depressive recurrence is indicated³ and acute treatment included:	Guideline concordant treatment will include:
Psychotherapy	Continued psychotherapy, with a decrease in visit frequency generally occurring if cognitive behavioral therapy or interpersonal therapy are used
Antidepressant medication	Antidepressant medication, generally at a comparable dose to that used for acute treatment
Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT)	Pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy; maintenance ECT may be considered if pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy have not preserved remission in past
¹ The presence of significant psychosocial stressors, intrapsychic conflict, interpersonal difficulties, co-occurring personality disorders or poor adherence with treatment may add to the rationale for treating with psychotherapy. ² In patients who have experienced only partial response to adequate trials of medications or psychotherapy alone, combination treatment may be considered. ³ Indications for maintenance phase treatment are based upon risk of recurrence (including consideration of number of prior episodes; presence of co-occurring conditions; residual symptoms between episodes), severity of episodes (including consideration of suicidal ideas and behaviors; psychotic features; severe functional impairments), side effects experienced during continuation therapy, or patient preferences.	

Appendix B. Sample “Real-Time” Performance-in-Practice Tool for Patients with Depression

This “real time” PIP tool is intended to be a prospective cross-sectional assessment that could be completed immediately following a patient visit. As currently formatted, the tool is designed to be folded in half to allow real-time feedback based upon answers to initial practice based questions. Up to 5 hours additional CME credit can be earned through use of the PIP tool and completion of the survey.

Patient Characteristics: Age: <input type="text"/>	Sex: <input type="text"/>	
Estimated duration of depressive illness:		
Length of time in treatment for current depressive episode:		
Which of the following is the patient experiencing?		<p>To establish a diagnosis of depression, at least 5 of these symptoms need to be experienced nearly every day over a two week period (with one of the symptoms being either depressed mood or loss of interest or pleasure). However, other symptom assessment intervals may be appropriate when monitoring the presence or absence of symptoms over time.</p> <p>If associated symptoms of depression are not routinely assessed (as indicated by multiple boxes on the left that are checked as unassessed or unknown), consider using a standardized tool for assessing and recording depressive symptoms such as the PHQ-9.</p>
	Yes No Unknown	
Little interest or pleasure in doing things?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Trouble falling or staying asleep, or sleeping too much?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Feeling tired or having little energy?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Poor appetite or overeating?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Negative feelings about self?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Trouble concentration?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Psychomotor retardation or agitation?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Thoughts of suicide, self-harm, or being better off dead?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
If the patient has thoughts of suicide, self-harm or being better off dead, was there a specific inquiry into:		<p>When patients are experiencing thoughts of suicide, self-harm or of being better off dead, more detailed questioning is crucial. The presence of suicide plans or intent indicates a significant increase in suicide risk. An intention to use a highly lethal suicide method (e.g., guns, hanging, jumping) will also confer an increase in suicide risk. When a suicide method is identified, the accessibility of the method is an additional part of the inquiry.</p>
Suicide plans	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Suicide intent	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Suicide methods	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Is the patient experiencing clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning that is a change from their baseline level of function?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The presence of clinically significant distress or functional impairment is one of the criteria used in making a diagnosis of depression. In addition to being a primary focus of patients and their families, functional impairment is a major determinant of illness related disability and should be routinely assessed.</p> <p>Distress and impairment are equally important to assess in examining response to treatment. If clinically significant distress or functional impairment are present, consider whether a change in treatment plan is indicated. Depending on the duration of treatment and persistence of symptoms, consideration may be given to changing a medication dose, modifying or adding a psychosocial treatment, changing or adding a medication, or revising the primary diagnosis.</p>

Appendix B. Sample “Real-Time” Performance-in-Practice Tool for Patients with Depression (p. 2 of 6)

Current Depressive Diagnosis:				In establishing a diagnosis of depression, it is essential to determine whether the patient has had multiple depressive episodes or only a single episode of depression as this will have implications for treatment planning. It is also important to identify other co-occurring psychiatric disorders as part of the initial assessment. Such disorders are common in depressed patients and need to be considered in planning care.
Other Psychiatric Diagnoses:				
Anxiety disorder(s):	Current	Past	Unknown	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Nicotine dependence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Alcohol use disorder:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other substance use disorder:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Personality disorder:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other psychiatric issues:				The presence of psychotic symptoms in a depressed patient will generally necessitate treatment with an antipsychotic and an antidepressant medication or with ECT.
Psychosis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Impaired cognition	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cognitive impairment may be associated with depression, medication side effects or other underlying causes. It can also influence adherence with treatment and patient safety.
Problematic use of alcohol or other substances (not meeting criteria for a substance use disorder diagnosis)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Use of alcohol or other substances can be problematic in depressed patients and can influence treatment response and suicide risk even in the absence of a substance use disorder.
Additional psychiatric history:	Yes	No	Unknown	
Hospitalizations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A history of hospitalization, suicide attempts or other self-harming behaviors is relevant in estimating suicide risk. The presence or absence of aggressive behaviors can also be important to risk assessment.
Suicide attempts	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other self-harming behaviors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Aggressive behavior	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Mania/Hypomania	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If not specifically assessed, manic or hypomanic episodes may not be reported. The treatment of a depressive episode may need to be modified if Bipolar I or Bipolar II disorder is identified, as use of an antidepressant in bipolar patients may be associated with occurrence of hypomanic or manic episodes.
				If any of the aspects of psychiatric diagnosis, symptoms or history on this page are not routinely assessed, increasing rates of assessment may be a useful goal for performance improvement.

Appendix B. Sample “Real-Time” Performance-in-Practice Tool for Patients with Depression (p. 3 of 6)

General Medical Conditions (including side effects of meds):	Yes	No	Unknown	
Hypertension	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>When present, general medical conditions and their treatments can contribute to depressive symptoms or require adjustments in medication doses. Medications prescribed for psychiatric disorders can interact with those for general medical conditions and can produce side effects in various organ systems (e.g., renal or thyroid difficulties with lithium, seizures with clozapine and other psychotropic medications, glucose dysregulation and hyperlipidemia with second generation antipsychotic medications). In addition, individuals with psychiatric illnesses may be at increased risk of acquiring general medical conditions (e.g., HIV and Hepatitis C acquired through intravenous substance use, cardiovascular and respiratory conditions through smoking). Weight gain is common with psychiatric medications and obesity contributes to morbidity and mortality. Sleep apnea can be an unrecognized complication of obesity that can be exacerbated by sedating medications.</p> <p>If general medical conditions and medication related side effects are not being routinely identified, this may be a useful focus of performance improvement efforts</p>
Cardiovascular disorders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Asthma/COPD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Renal disorders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Hepatic disorders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Infectious diseases (e.g., HIV, Hepatitis C)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Thyroid disease	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Seizure disorder	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Sleep apnea	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Obesity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Diabetes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Hyperlipidemia	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If obesity is present, is the patient’s weight being monitored?				
	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>		Given the rise in obesity as a public health problem and the common occurrence of weight gain with psychotropic medications, monitoring of weight and recommendations about weight control strategies are increasingly relevant elements of treatment planning.
have nutrition and exercise been discussed?				
	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>		Collaborating with other clinicians is an important part of psychiatric management. When a patient has a current general medical condition, communication with the patient’s primary care physician may be indicated.
If the patient has current general medical conditions, has contact been made with the patient’s primary care physician?				
	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>		
Current non-psychiatric medication(s)	Dose	Frequency	Route	Knowledge of medications that patients are receiving for treatment of non-psychiatric disorders is important in looking for potential drug-drug interactions and interpreting reported side effects of treatment. Such information can also alert the clinician to the presence of general medical conditions that may not have been reported by the patient (e.g., hypertension, hyperlipidemias) or to side effects of treatment that may require changes in medications or medication doses.

Appendix B. Sample “Real-Time” Performance-in-Practice Tool for Patients with Depression (p. 4 of 6)

<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Current psychiatric medication(s)</th> <th>Dose</th> <th>Frequency</th> <th>Route</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Current psychiatric medication(s)	Dose	Frequency	Route																									<p>Knowledge of medications that patients are receiving for treatment of psychiatric disorders is important in assessing the patient’s response to treatment and interpreting reported side effects of treatment. In reviewing the list of the patient’s current medications, infrequently administered medications (e.g., long-acting injectable antipsychotic medications) should not be overlooked. If the patient has residual symptoms, assess the adequacy of the medication dose and determine if changes in medication, medication dose or concomitant psychosocial therapy are indicated.</p>
Current psychiatric medication(s)	Dose	Frequency	Route																										
<p>Has the potential for drug-drug interactions been assessed for the patient’s current medication regimen? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Many psychotropic medications are metabolized through the cytochrome P450 and uridine 5’-diphosphate glucuronosyl-transferase enzyme systems, have high degrees of binding to plasma proteins or act on the P-glycoprotein transporter in the gastrointestinal tract. Consequently, there are many opportunities for clinically relevant drug-drug interactions to occur when patients are receiving psychotropic medications. If identification of potential drug-drug interactions is not routinely done, this may be a useful focus for performance improvement.</p>																												
<p>If any of the patient’s medications require laboratory monitoring (e.g., medication blood levels, evaluation of side effects), has this been performed? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Specific medications may also require blood level monitoring or other follow-up laboratory testing to assess for the presence of side effects. If such monitoring is indicated but sometimes overlooked, this may also be a useful focus for performance improvement initiatives.</p>																												
<p>Is each medication essential? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Continued use of non-essential medications increases costs as well as side effects and drug-drug interactions. With the fragmentation of health care, medications that were intended to be tapered may have been continued inadvertently. As a result, patients may be taking multiple medications of the same class without evidence in the literature that this improves outcomes. Regular review of patients’ medication regimens may help determine which medications are essential (and should not be stopped) and which may be able to be tapered and discontinued.</p>																												
<p>Other somatic treatment approaches:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Current</th> <th>Past</th> <th>Unknown</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Electroconvulsive therapy</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vagal nerve stimulation therapy</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other:</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Current	Past	Unknown	Electroconvulsive therapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vagal nerve stimulation therapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The current and past use of other somatic treatment approaches is relevant to treatment planning as well as to assessment of therapeutic responses and treatment-related side effects. Inquiring about past experiences with these treatments is sometimes overlooked as part of the evaluation of patients with depression.</p>												
	Current	Past	Unknown																										
Electroconvulsive therapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																										
Vagal nerve stimulation therapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																										
Other:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																										

Appendix B. Sample “Real-Time” Performance-in-Practice Tool for Patients with Depression (p. 5 of 6)

Psychosocial treatments used (by psychiatrist or other clinicians):	Current	Past	Unknown	
Psychodynamic psychotherapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The current and past use of psychosocial treatment approaches is relevant to treatment planning as well as to assessment of therapeutic responses. Inquiring about past experiences with these treatments is sometimes overlooked as part of the evaluation of patients with depression. If the past and current use of psychosocial treatments is not routinely assessed, this may be a useful focus for performance improvement. If psychosocial treatments are being provided by other clinicians, it will be crucial to collaborate with these clinicians in the care of the patient.
Cognitive psychotherapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Behavioral psychotherapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Interpersonal psychotherapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Supportive psychotherapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Education about illness or treatment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Medication management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Self-management approaches	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
In reviewing the psychosocial treatment approaches that are being used:	If psychosocial treatment approaches are infrequently utilized as part of the treatment of depressed patients, this might prompt a review of typical treatment planning approaches. If the psychosocial treatments being employed do not adequately address core symptoms or residual symptoms, modifications in the patient's plan of treatment may be indicated depending upon factors such as the type and duration of treatment.			
Does the treatment approach adequately target core symptoms? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>				
Are modifications needed to address residual symptoms? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>				
Estimated degree of adherence to treatment: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Poor <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/>	Difficulty adhering to treatment is a common cause of inadequate response. Treatment of depression can be enhanced by assessing adherence, providing additional education to patients and their involved family members and discussing barriers to adherence such as costs, concerns about medication use, complexity and side effects of medication regimens and obstacles to keeping appointments (e.g., transportation, childcare, schedule constraints).			
Is additional education or discussion of the treatment plan needed to enhance the patient's understanding and adherence? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>				
Estimated magnitude of treatment-related side effects: Severe <input type="checkbox"/> Moderate <input type="checkbox"/> Mild <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/>	Assessment of side effects of treatment is crucial in all patients and could be a focus for performance improvement if not routinely determined. Although side effects are less commonly considered in patients receiving psychosocial treatments, intensive insight oriented treatments or exposure therapies may be associated with increases in anxiety for some patients. With antidepressant medication, common side effects include sleep-related effects (i.e., sedation, insomnia), gastrointestinal effects (e.g., diarrhea, constipation, nausea), restlessness/anxiety, sexual dysfunction, headache, and anticholinergic effects. Effects on cardiac conduction can be a particular problem with tricyclic antidepressants. For all antidepressants, the FDA has issued warnings that the potential for increased suicidal thoughts or behaviors with antidepressant therapy in individuals under the age of 25 must be balanced against the benefits of treatment.			
Side effects experienced:				

Appendix B. Sample “Real-Time” Performance-in-Practice Tool for Patients with Depression (p. 6 of 6)

<p>Based upon the severity of the patient’s depressive disorder, is the overall treatment approach concordant with that recommended practice guideline on the preceding page?</p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>What patient specific factors (if any) have led to modifications in the approach to treating the patient’s depression compared to that recommended by the practice guideline?</p>	<p>Although care is often noted to diverge from guideline based recommendations, other evidence suggests that providing guideline-concordant care is likely to improve patient outcomes. However, these data are based upon populations of patients and the samples in randomized trials (on which guidelines are typically based) have different characteristics than patients seen in actual practice. If a patient’s plan of treatment does diverge from that recommended in the practice guideline, it is useful to consider the patient-specific factors relevant to the treatment plan as well as the rationale for the current plan of care. If patients’ treatment plans infrequently follow guideline recommendations, this might serve as a focus for performance improvement.</p>
<p>If the patient has current or past co-occurring psychiatric disorders, are these being addressed in the treatment plan?</p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Co-occurring psychiatric disorders are common in depressed patients and need to be considered in planning care. Including treatment for each disorder in the treatment plan is likely to improve outcomes for each disorder. Substance use disorders, in particular, are often underrecognized and undertreated, despite the fact that integrated treatment is effective. Performance improvement efforts might be focused on increasing the rates of treatment for all co-occurring disorders or may focus on specific disorders with high rates of occurrence in individuals with depression (e.g., smoking cessation in individuals with nicotine dependence).</p>
<p>Has the treatment plan considered factors such as age, sex, ethnicity, culture, and religious/spiritual beliefs that may require a modified treatment approach?</p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>In individualizing the patient’s plan of treatment, factors such as age, sex, ethnicity, culture and religious/spiritual beliefs are essential yet are often overlooked. If such factors are unassessed or infrequently incorporated into treatment planning, this might serve as a focus for performance improvement.</p>
	<p>Are any changes in this patient’s treatment plan likely as a result of this review process?</p>
	<p>Are any performance improvement initiatives or further reviews of practice planned as a result of this review process?</p>

**Sample “Real-Time” Performance in Practice Tool for Patients with Depression
Survey Form and CME Certification Begin date February 2008,
End date February 2011.**

To earn CME credit for this *Survey Program*, psychiatrists should use the **Sample Real Time Performance in Practice Tool** as indicated. After using the performance in practice tool, participants should fully complete this survey and send it by mail to APACME 1000 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1825 Rosslyn VA 22209, or fax to 703 907 7849, or send by email to educme@psych.org.

Objective: After completion of this activity psychiatrists will have the foundation for subsequent performance improvement initiatives aimed at enhancing outcomes for patients with major depressive disorder.

The APA is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians. APA designates this educational activity for a maximum of 5 AMA PRA Category 1 credits. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

		1	2	3	4	5	
1. Overall, I am satisfied with the usefulness of this PIP tool in assessing my practice patterns.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree
2. This PIP tool was difficult for me to use.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree
3. The questions and information on this PIP tool were worded clearly.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree
4. The organization of information on this PIP tool was clear.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree
5. I was able to complete this PIP tool rapidly.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree
6. Completing this PIP tool had no effect on my knowledge about treating patients with depression.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree
7. By completing this PIP tool, I have identified at least one way in which I can improve my care of patients.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree
8. Completing this PIP tool has helped me to verify that I am providing appropriate care to my patients.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree
9. Completing this PIP tool was a good use of my time.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree
10. Reviewing my patterns of practice is a good use of my time.	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree

CLINICAL SYNTHESIS

List the most helpful aspects of this PIP tool:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

List the least helpful aspects of this PIP tool:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

How do you plan to use the information gained from this self-assessment in your practice?

How might we improve upon this PIP tool in the future?

Additional comments:

Please evaluate the effectiveness of this CME activity by answering the following questions.

1. Achievement of educational objectives: YES _____ NO _____
2. Material was presented without bias: YES _____ NO _____

American Psychiatric Association CME

1000 Wilson Blvd., Suite 1825
Arlington, VA 22209-3901
Telephone: (703) 907-8637, Fax: (703) 907-7849
To earn credit, complete and send this page.
Retain a copy of this form for your records.

Number of hours you spent on this activity _____
(understanding & using the tool and completing the survey up to 5 hours)

Date _____

APA Member: Yes _____ No _____

Member number _____

Last name First name Middle initial Degree

Mailing address

City State Zip code Country

Fax number

E-mail address: _____

I would like to receive my certificate by:

Fax _____ E-mail _____